Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
1	The uncertainty and volatility of council funding streams, including Business Rates Retention, New Homes Bonus and needs based funding, means that long term business planning is difficult and subject to significant change.	Withdrawal of New Homes Bonus that may or may not be replaced by an alternative scheme leading to potential funding gap.  Uncertainty around business rates retention due to planned reset of the system being delayed – does not support sustainable financial planning.  Although the Spending Review 2021 set the financial envelope for a three-year period, settlements continue to be on a one-year basis. As with business rates, the fair Funding Review has been delayed until at least 2025/26 leaving councils uncertain as to how funding will be distributed to individual authorities over the medium term.	5	5	25	Council does not use 100% of NHB to support base budget.  Accumulated provisions within existing retained rates scheme.  Development of other funding streams such as Council Tax and Commercial properties.  Revised MTFS highlighting size of impact and potential measures to bridge the deficit.  Significant MTFS reserve.	Executive Director: Resources	25	Further development of alternative income streams to reduce dependence on these funding streams.  Detailed planning around major cost saving areas identified in the MTFS. Government clarification on future of local government finance including:  Spending Review.  Fair Funding Review.  Business rates reform  Future of NHB and whether an alternative scheme will be brought forward.	9

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

#### Comments:

The 2023/24 Local Government Settlement was again delivered as a one-year settlement with the 24/25 Settlement also likely to be on this basis given that it is the final year of the Spending Review and an impending general election. Whilst it has been confirmed that both Funding Reform and the Business Rates reset have been pushed back until 2025/26 at the earliest, they remain as a distinct possibility within the medium term. There is still no clarity on the future of New Homes Bonus although the government has promised to engage with the sector in 2023. Therefore, there remains considerable uncertainty with local government funding. A medium term financial projection is currently being produced, based on the limited information we have and forecasting a range of scenarios, for sharing with Transform Working Group before coming to Council in the Winter.

2	If the ICT	Phishing attacks/Spear	5	5	25	Patch management.	Associate	12	Finalise and test	9
	network is not	phishing – untargeted mass					Director: IT		cyber response plan	
	adequately	emails sent to many				Penetration testing.	and Cyber			
	protected then	recipients to acquire							Network	
	there is a risk it	sensitive				Internal phishing			segmentation	
	is susceptible to	information/targeted emails				awareness exercises.			_	
	a cyber-security	designed to look like it has							Cyber essentials	
	attack leading to	been sent from a trusted				PSN compliance.			accreditation	
	loss of systems	person.				•				
	and significant					Firewall management.				
	downtime.	Denial of service (DoS) -								
		hacker floods a website				Cyber insurance.				
		with more traffic than it can								
		handle. Legitimate users				Cyber specific post				
		are denied access to				created within ICT Team.				
		services, downtime of								
		systems.								
		Malware – forms of harmful								
		software executed when it								
		is mistakenly downloaded.								

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
		Ransomware – denies access to systems until a ransom is paid.								
		Reusing credentials on multiple systems makes it easier for a hacker to move around the network								
		All or combination can lead to: Loss of reputation and trust, financial loss – disruption to service								
		delivery, cost of restoring systems, Legal implications – personal data breach could lead to a significant								
remed	diation work. New	fine ability management system is segmentation of the network ditional training is needed.								
3	If business continuity planning is not	A BC incident could be any of the following;  • ICT downtime	4	4	16	Individual service continuity plans.	Director: Corporate Resources	12	Finalise and test draft plan.	9
	in place then there is a risk	Major staff absence				Draft corporate plan.			Review of individual service plans.	

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
Comm	the council would struggle to deliver its services in the event of an incident.	<ul> <li>Property access</li> <li>Supply chain failure</li> <li>Leading to reputational damage, financial loss, impact on service delivery etc.</li> <li>Individual service plans, support of the property access.</li> </ul>	ported b	v the C	ivil Pr	ICT disaster recovery.  otection Team is completed	d. These plans	will no	ow help form the ICT B	CP by
		s priority systems. Following								
4	If the council is not compliant with General Data Protection Requirement then there is a risk of financial penalties and adverse publicity.	Reputational – adverse publicity and internal impact on service and individuals  Financial – potential fines from ICO or compensation claim from individuals  Resource – time consuming to report, investigate and mitigate data breaches  Staff morale – in case of data breaches, potential disciplinary action	4	4	16	Updated Data Protection Policy – approved June 2023.  Governance structure in place eg Information Board, Data Protection Officer appointed, designated Senior Information Risk Owner, SpoC.  Breach reporting framework.  Staff awareness training.	Director: Corporate Resources	12	Rollout of e-learning module  Implementation of related audit recommendations.  Implementation of GDPR action plan	9

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view				
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year				
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term				
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor				

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
						GDPR action plan.				
and G	Sovernance role. Ti	nation Governance Officer (Ione key role of the IGO will be ticularly around data retention	to revie	w and <sub>l</sub>	proact	tively take forward the GDP	R action plan of	f whic	h there are a couple of	
5	Use of Swindon Road depot.	Our waste services are currently based at the Swindon Road Depot in Cheltenham. The depot is currently leased to Ubico by Cheltenham Borough Council. If CBC were minded to terminate this arrangement then we may need to identify a suitable depot for Ubico to operate of waste services from.	5	2	10	Lease agreement in place.	Director: Communities	8	Elements of the lease arrangement of the depot have been reviewed and changes made to formalise the arrangements with Cheltenham BC.  Consider where a temporary depot could operate from in an emergency.	5
which t <b>his r</b> i	will be agreed ahea isk since the last u	tee for the depot has been agreed of the next round of budget seed of the next round of budget seed of the next round of budget seed the next round the next receive Committee in Novemble seed of the next receive Committee in Novemble seed of the next receive next r	setting.	This will <b>work is</b>	ensur the p	e that the depot remains fit footential for a new joint depo	r purpose where ot which could I	ver po	ssible. <b>No further chan</b>	ge to
6	Failure to maintain council assets and	The council has a significant property portfolio encompassing operational	5	4	20	Recent refurbishment of service-related property.	Director: Corporate Resources	10	Establishment of long-term asset maintenance	5

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
	ensure ongoing tenancies could result in significant cost	and investment assets. For example, the total commercial portfolio is £60m producing an annual				Commercial investment reserve including annual contribution.			programme, including allocation of required funding.	
	and lost income	gross income of £3.7m.				Trained and experienced staff.  Appointment of external			Establishment of annual contribution to Asset Maintenance	
						investment support.  Recruitment of additional  Property Officer.			Programme.	

**Comments:** The current service and commercial portfolio are in a good state of repair with minor works ongoing. External funding to support the replacement of the heat system at the Council Offices has been secured – a report went to Council in July requesting internal funding to support this project. The commercial property portfolio is now fully let. A Strategic Asset Management Plan and individual property asset maintenance plan are to be developed by the end of the calendar year.

	1		1				1			_
7	Garden Town	Failure to deliver Garden	5	5	25	Regular meetings with the	Associate	20	Previous capacity	9
	status	Town programme will have				Project Assurance	Director-		funding awards from	
		an impact on the Council in				Group/Programme Board	Garden		Government have	
		Reputation -				which is attended by a	Towns		also included £1.5m	
		Confidence from				Homes England (HE)			towards the County	
		Government of TBC				representative.			run J9/A46 project to	
		ability to deliver							assist with the	
		programme (planning				The programme is being			ongoing business	
		stages).				managed through the			case development,	

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
		<ul> <li>Financial (loss of NHB from assumed delivery of homes).</li> <li>Non-delivery of homes and jobs required for community (delivery stages).</li> </ul>				standard HE Project Delivery Plan (PDP).  Completed update of the evolution of the masterplan to inform the JSP Review.  Regular review meetings with representatives from HE and DLUHC.			plus £500k for assessment of options for establishing a delivery vehicle for the GT– draft outline business case having been submitted to DLUHC for comment (April 2023).  Further work on the programme currently on pause pending outcome of Gateway Review.	
he re	commendations fr	nme is currently paused pending rom the gateway review the woutcome of the decision at compart of the project under HIF	vill be pı							
	the Ashchurch bridge project.	funding has a time limit of March 2024 for complete funding draw-down. This however was not extendable and further HIF	3	3		quashed.  Agree licence/access with landowners	Director- Garden Towns		submitted by a group of local parishes was heard at the high court in November. In January 2022, the	3

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
2				Ξ	9			Cu ris		Tal
		funding support has been withdrawn.				Network Rail is an active part of the project board.			Judicial Review ruled robustly in the Council's favour and all challenges were dismissed. However, the parishes lodged an appeal, heard in December 2022 and the judgement - received in February 2023 quashed the	
Comm	 ents: .ludgement.ng	I ow received and the planning o	onsent h	nas heer	า ตมลร	hed Due to the HIF funding (	constraints – con	nnletio	planning consent. on by March 2024 – furth	er
		withdrawn. At the present time								
identifi	ed by the Court of A	Appeal would clearly have to be	e addres	sed. <b>No</b>	furth	er change to this risk since	the last update	in Ju	ly.	
9	The climate change motions approved by Council included a commitment to achieve countywide carbon	<ul> <li>Significant change to service delivery.</li> <li>Ability to influence wider community.</li> <li>Significant financial input</li> </ul>	4	5	20	Appointment of countywide coordinator.  Approval of action plan for Council carbon neutrality.  Delivery of a new solar car parking canopy.	Executive Director: Resources	16	Identification of specific actions to support wider carbon neutrality of whole council and the Borough.  Allocation of funding to support specific	4

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
	neutrality aims as well as					Appointment of dedicated Carbon Reduction Officer.			activities, both on an on-going and one-off	
	carbon					Carbon Readdion Cinical.			basis	
	neutrality for the					Allocation of £100,000				
	Council's own					reserve to support small			Source external	
	services.					scale activities.			grant funding opportunities	
						Rollout of carbon literacy			орронаниез	
						training.			Collaborative	
									working with partners	
									to maximise efficiency and reduce	
									cost	
									Assessment and	
									delivery of capacity	
									and resource necessary to meet	
									the Council's motion.	

Comments: The Council has been awarded £708,000 of funding from the Public Sector Decarbonisation scheme to support the replacement off gas boilers with an air source system at the Council offices. Council agreed, in July, to match fund the scheme, the installation has started and expected to be completed by March 2024. Executive Committee also approved a new and permanent Climate Change Officer role within the Council to support the existing resource.

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
10	Additional demand placed upon the council through cost of living support.	Potential increase in homelessness cases      Increase in benefit related cases eg council tax reduction applications/DHP etc      Reduced council tax and business rate collection rates      Increased business enquiries to growth hub      Inflationary increases on council supplies and services      additional resource to deliver govt schemes eg energy rebate/food vouchers/warm places	4	5	20	<ul> <li>Re-instigate the Financial Inclusion Partnership</li> <li>Dedicated Discretionary Housing Payments Officer</li> <li>Use of £100k TBC business grant scheme to support small business</li> <li>Re-target balance of £98k council tax hardship grant</li> <li>additional external funding eg £55k to support warm places</li> <li>Introduction of multi service cell to harness a cohesive response</li> <li>New version of the household support grant being devised</li> </ul>	Director: Community Services	20	Monitor the impact and consider what further actions can be taken working closely with other partners.	10

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score				
into po continu experie	Comment: The cost of living crisis caused by rising rates of inflation, National Insurance, and fuel/energy costs, may cause more families and households to fall into poverty and unemployment, which may lead to increased level of service demand on the Council and place additional pressure on front-line services. Work continues both internally and with external partners to ensure that we are alerted of any significant problems at an early stage. Currently the voluntary sector is experiencing high demand for services. A number of support schemes are in place and these are kept under constant review. This risk will continue to be under review.													
11	A sustained increase in migration and movement of people could place increased demand on services	service deterioration     Increased demand in the Voluntary and Community Sector     Negative impact on the wider cohesion of the community.     Increased financial pressure on budgets	4	4	16	<ul> <li>member of the countywide strategic migration partnership</li> <li>Lobby MPs / Government to increase funding and support for all migration schemes.</li> <li>Continued engagement with the South West Migration Partnership to stay informed on the Afghan dispersal.</li> <li>Development of contingency plans for large numbers of homeless applications over the winter when pressures are already significant.</li> <li>A number (12) properties have been</li> </ul>	Director: Community Services	16	Monitor the impact and consider what further actions can be taken working closely with other partners	10				

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
						earmarked for Afghan / Ukrainian families funded by DLUHC / partners.				

Comments: There is a possibility that there will be a sustained increase in migration and movement of people. This could result in increased demand on the Council's critical front-line services which may result in service deterioration, financial pressures. Working with partners and the community will help to reduce this risk. Partners have established the Gloucestershire Strategic Migration Partnership Group (GSMP) and they will be monitoring the overall risk within the county. This risk will continue to be under review. There is also a plan to disperse Afghan migrants out of hotels. Asylum seekers in hotels are starting to receive decisions on their applications and this could lead to an influx of homeless applications. The GSMP are currently working on contingency planning for high demand on housing / homeless services particularly over the winter period.

12	Delays in	•	lack of up to date	5	3	15	•	Appointed a new	Associate	15	A review of the	10
	progressing the		strategic policies -					Senior Responsible	Director:		budget and staff	
	Joint Strategic		potentially lead to					Owner (SRO).	Planning		resources to deliver	
	Plan (JSP).		inappropriate								the JSP has been	
			development				•	Evidence base tracker			undertaken.	
		•	Reputational damage.					was created in July				
		•	Requirement for					2022.				
			additional resources.								A revised Local	
		•	Insufficient strategic				•	<b>Budgetary provision</b>			Development	
			site allocations to					now agreed by three			Scheme has now	
			deliver housing and					councils to provide			been approved by	
			land for employment.					dedicated staff and			all three councils.	
		•	Impact on securing					project resource to				
			external funding for key					fund delivery of			Steering Group	
			infrastructural projects					Plan.			continue to meet on	
			ac ac. a. projecto								a weekly basis.	

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
						<ul> <li>Detailed risk register has been created.</li> <li>Detailed work programme has been developed.</li> </ul>			Joint Advisory Group meeting on a monthly basis.  Lead in towards Issues and Options consultation at the end of calendar year now underway with Local Plan Reference Groups and Exec / Council meetings programmed. Consultation to follow thereafter.	

Comments: Still no finalisation of Government planning reforms. At present agreed timeline could only be delivered if either these reforms were not implemented or if the Government adopted more flexible transitional arrangements either allowing the JSP to progress under the current system or to transition to the new system. Significant progress has been made since the last update with new LDS and resources to deliver the Plan agreed. The Issues and Options consultation is tracking towards the respective councils for approval but will not formally commence until just before Christmas.

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
13	Non-delivery of the Development Management review.	<ul> <li>Reputational damage.</li> <li>Failure to meet the national thresholds for 'major' and 'non-major' planning applications.</li> <li>Significant resource invested in the project.</li> <li>Gap in leadership ahead of permanent resource starting.</li> <li>Staff morale.</li> </ul>	4	3	12	<ul> <li>Approved project plan with dedicated workstreams incl recruitment &amp; retention</li> <li>Internal programme board chaired by Chief Executive.</li> <li>£150k funding secured through the DLUHC pathfinder projects scheme.</li> <li>In February 2023, further £300k awarded from the DLUHC-Planning Software Improvement Fund to help improve customer experience for planning service users.</li> <li>Interim operational manager is in place.</li> <li>New Associate Director Planning now in place.</li> <li>£100k bid was submitted for the</li> </ul>	Associate Director: Planning	9	Delivery of project action plan.  Support service review.  Deliver new ways of working through Business Transformation, including expenditure of the DLUHC funding.	4

Code	Risk score	Risk Management view
Red	16 – 25	Must be managed down to reduce risk scores in the next year
Amber	5 – 15	Seek to improve the risk score in the medium term
Green	1-4	Tolerate and monitor

Risk ref	Corporate risk identified	Impact assessment / comment	Impact Score (1-5)	Likelihood Score (1-5)	Gross risk score	Mitigating Controls	Risk Owner	Current risk score	Identified risk mgt action points	Target risk score
						Government launched Skills and Capacity Backlog Fund (deadline 11 Sept).				
		ate Director now started and not not to help continue the wor				eview. On 11 September the	council submi	tted a	bid to the Government	skills
4	Outcome of the DEFRA consultation on	If central government impose a change to our waste collection	5	4	20	There is little that can be done at this stage to mitigate this risk. Nearer	Director: Communities	20	Await the outcome of the government's consultation.	2

methodology the impact the waste the time there may be an could be significant both in opportunity to undertake service. Continue to send terms of the financial an assessment of the messages to impact of purchasing new service to demonstrate government that fleet, containers etc but that it is technically, waste collection also the disruption to environmentally and should be a local economically practical to residents. decision. continue with the current service.

Comments: New risk added following Audit and Governance Committee request in March 2023. No change to this risk since July 2023 still awaiting clarity on the future of waste services from central government.